







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	98/14/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR John R. Reynolds	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/929,590				41530/28295	3647
21888	7590	06/04/2003			
THOMPSO		•	EXAMINER		
ONE FIRST SUITE 3500		ZA	DESANTO, MATTHEW F		
ST LOUIS, MO 63101				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
·				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3763	, 1\
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	70

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ΛK					
العد	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
-	09/929,590	REYNOLDS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew F DeSanto	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum si - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a carned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a remunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>27 <i>March 200</i>3</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	n for allowance except for formal matt						
closed in accordance with the prac Disposition of Claims	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-13 and 25-43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,14-24 and 44-53</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers	ction and/or election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 August 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are re	equired in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in Ap	pplication No					
	of the priority documents have been r national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). on for a list of the certified copies not r	-					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	· •						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 10					

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 9-13, and 25-43 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "electroactive polymer, and a drug (drug reservoir)" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/929,590 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Objections

5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The functional statement set forth in a "whereby" clause does not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus which differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the structural limitations of the claim. <u>In re Mason</u>, 244 F.2d 733, 114 USPQ 127 (CCPA 1957). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-8, 14-22, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Phipps et al. (USPN 6289242).
- 8. Phipps et al. discloses an electrode drug delivery system comprising an electroactive polymer having at least one electrically addressable release pad and at least one electrode system containing a drug releasable therefrom upon application of a

Application/Control Number: 09/929,590

Art Unit: 3763

potential to said electrode which is in electrical communication with at least one independently electrically addressable release pad.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-8, 14-24, and 44-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Say et al. (USPN 6565509) and further in view of Miller et al. (USPN 4585652).

Say et al. discloses the claimed invention (electrically addressable release pad, electrodes, telemetry means, communication means, and a drug reservoir [entire reference]) except for using an electroactive polymer to release a drug from the reservoir in the pump.

Miller et al. discloses using an electroactive polymer to release a drug from the reservoir of the pump. (Entire reference)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Say et al. with Miller et al. because Miller et al. discloses a way for an electrochemical method for delivering controlled charged bioactive chemical species to a physiological media (Miller et al. Column 2, lines 15-24).

Application/Control Number: 09/929,590

Art Unit: 3763

11. Claims 1-8, 14-22, and 44-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Say et al. and further in view of Phipps et al.

Say et al. discloses the claimed invention (electrically addressable release pad, electrodes, telemetry means, communication means, and a drug reservoir [entire reference]) except for using an electroactive polymer to release a drug from the reservoir in the pump.

Phipps et al. discloses using electroactive polymers to be incorporated within the electrodes.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Say et al. with Phipps et al. because Phipps et al. teaches a more effective way to transport molecules into skin by means of an electroactive polymer within the electrode.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 3763

13. Claims 1-8, 14-24, 44-53 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-53 of copending Application No. 09/929197. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because having an electroactive polymer and the use of burst electrodes.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 1-703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 1-703-872-9302 for regular communications and 1-703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 1-703-308-0858.

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763

June 2, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700